

Patent at col. 6, lines 28-44 to support the rejection, Applicant submits that the claimed “tree display of objects” is not disclosed by that passage nor by any other portion of the ‘464 Patent, including Figures 4-28 referenced by the cited passage.

Claims 17 further recites a network interface which enables a server machine to transmit information over a network to a remote computer. The information includes “one of an Active X component, Visual Java Program, Java Applet, and PERL program.” To support the disclosure of this limitation, the Examiner cites the ‘464 Patent at col. 3, lines 16-34 and figures 2 and 3. While the ‘464 Patent discloses a specific Java Applet, the disclosure provides no relationship between the Java Applet and any other claimed element. Further, the ‘464 Patent neither teaches nor suggests that the Java Applet is included with information transmitted by a server, as claimed by Applicant.

Accordingly, the ‘464 patent fails to disclose all of the elements of independent claims 17, 20, 21, 41, 44, 45, 65, 68, 69, 81, 82, 84, 86 and 87. Consequently, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider independent claims 17, 20, 21, 41, 44, 45, 65, 68, 69, 81, 82, 84, 86 and 87, and withdraw the rejection of these claims.

Dependent Claims

Dependent claims 18, 19, 22-24, 42, 43, 46-48, 66, 67, 70-72, 83 and 85 are allowable for the same reasons set forth above with respect to the corresponding independent claims. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of these claims.

Conclusion

Entry of this amendment and allowance of claims 17-24, 41-48, 65-72 and 81-87 are respectfully requested. Applicant respectfully invites the Examiner to contact Applicant's representative at the telephone number listed below to discuss any questions regarding the present application or this amendment.

Respectfully submitted,

December 12, 2002

Date



Robert R. Lech
Patent Office Reg. No. 37,169
Attorney for Applicant
(614) 621-7101